To: Exec

FROM: Mg4 Officer

Subject: Review of Comments of Chief, D/NIS ON MANAgement Staff Study.
Reference: D. B.
Subject: Management Staff-ORE Functions: Study of NIS Division, ORE
Comments of Chief, D/NIS ON MANAgement Staff Study

1. The attached contains a lengthy dissertation by 25X1A9a 25X1A9a 25X1A9a

25X1A9a

on the subject of the NIS program, This document includes a complete review and explanation as to the concepts and workings of the NIS program, complete with quotations from all the basic documents, such as NSCID No. 3, and the DCIDS, pertinent thereto.

- far has been able to confuse the issue for all concerned, and apparently is the standard technique of the NIS Division, ORE.
- 3. Management feels its recommendations are soundly conceived and are practical, but regardless of that, our every recommendation is refuted so that the MIS program will not be disturbed by any outside influence. For example, our stand on their internal organization was that the Publication Branch was not actually operating as and to the Gladd at anneadly according to constituted on the T/O structure, MIS' reply was: "The table of organization for the Publication Branch is not being followed in performance of activities." Then they state reasons and wind up by Barrhad saying "in consequence, it is recommended that no action be taken on the reorganization of the Publication Branch.......
- 4. In the essence, these matters are minor. I think the whole a wecured as to who is responsible for the of the problem rests in a fallacy that I fear this Staff is whable to comprehend. According to the Chief of the NIS Division, verbally

Approved For Release 2000/08/21 : CIA-RDP57-00042A000100180012-7

and by the attached paper, the NIS program is not the responsibility of CIA, but is the responsibility of the NIS Committee, the chairman of which is the Chief of the NIS Division. This creates the situation whereby a subordinate of the Director is superior to the Director.

If this is indeed intended, then this Staff requests direction along those lines, as such a concept is not only confusing but conflicting.

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

5. In paragraph 6 of the attached paper, states:
"The question of paramount importance is whether or not the NIS is an interdepartmental project or a CIA project. The answer to this question appears to be clear" he says. then quotes NSCID No. 3. It is obvious that his interpretation and the interpretation of this office are completely opposite.

6. I need not reiterate the old argument on dissemination 1A9a

That IT has been My Experience That 25X1A9a

except to say I feel as strongly as ever that no matter how

25X1A9a

explains it, every recipient, of the NIS with whom I have ever the NIS content and its distribution. This and holds we accountable for the content and its distribution. This Staff has never indicated or suggested that the NIS Committee or that the IAC itself should not influence the dissemination of the NIS product, but to give the dissemination authority to the NIS Division somehow does not seem to be the whole answer ad we reiterate our stand that the NES productions be disseminated by the same office as is everything else in CIA, as per the Director's orders - under the control of the OCD.